

Again the same questions

What is 'I'?

What is knowing?

The same questions but on a different spot

Therefore

Are they the same questions?

What can I conclusively say about what 'I' am?

TRY

What is written below does not necessarily have to be true
Because I would not know what being 'true' means
I can merely assume that some things are more true than other things
But such truths are always horizontal truths
But can anything be true in a vertical way?

Horizontal means things of a similar nature:

With your body you walk against a wall
For the body the wall is very true

Vertical means transferring something to a different level

For instance thinking about walking with the body against a wall
Thinking is different from hitting: it does not hurt

Finding meaning of things is 'vertical'

A suggestion about 'I'

For your consideration:

'I' is experience

When there is experience there is 'I'

When there is no experience there is no 'I'

What matters is not the idea
What matters are the implications

For instance

Many like the idea of democracy

Fewer know what it actually means

Fewer again are willing to take all that it implies

Again

Many like the idea of freedom

Fewer know what it actually means

Fewer again are willing to take all that it implies

Again Quantum physics demonstrates

That the world is different from what we assume it is

Fewer know what it actually means

Fewer again are willing to take what it implies

Got it?

What matters is not 'they'

What matters is 'you'

For the spiritual endeavour there is only 'you'

It has nothing to do with 'ideas'

What matters is not what somebody says about something

What matters is what you do

Many like to read about the exploits of enlightenment

Few are willing to do what it takes

*E*nlightenment?

What is that?

*y*ou are a particle of Living

You are a drop in the ocean

This is about 'you'

To be a particle of living is a nice thought

Moving particles of earth

So far it is an idea

The idea becomes living

When you absorb

All the consequences of the idea

Accepting the consequences is like submitting

A step towards submitting:

S_{ay}

I am a particle of living

Sing:

I am a drop in the ocean

You know

For the ocean the drop is totally irrelevant

It is not unimportant

Without drops there is no ocean

It is

IRRELEVANT

Some want to know

What the cosmos tells them

Such as:

What is the opinion of the ocean about the drop?

or

What is God demonstrating to me?

Silly?

The sting is in 'me'

There is nothing the matter with the ocean or with God

I am Irrelevant

An Iranian saying

When you talk to God, you must be a saintly man

When God talks to you, you surely must be crazy

Reflect on this:



In living I experience a world

and



In living I communicate with that world

In living that world communicates with me

Question:

What is the difference between **A** and **B** ?

Answer:

The communication from me to the world

And from the world to me is something

That is embedded in the fact

That I experience a world

The communication both ways depends

On whether I experience or not

That is easy!

EASY AND ESSENTIAL

The communication both ways is within the experience

I do not HAVE an experience of a world

I AM an experience of a world

What I can see as experience

Is a collectivity of impulses

That I seem to receive

And from which I construct a world

I receive the impulses in me

And I construct the world in me

I do not know whether

That with what I communicate exists

Other than that I constructed it

As an aspect of an experience

When my consciousness is altered in any way

The experience changes

As I am experience when I change

With it the world changes

A

Should I say THE world is different

Or

B

Should I say I CREATE a different world

When I can change the experience of the world by means of a chemical alteration. The only reason why I say the world is changed is because I am more used to a particular world than to the world that is the result of the altered state of consciousness.

Consider:

A

When you are depressed the world has certain characteristics

B

When you are joyful the world has certain characteristics

Is the depressed 'you' natural or is the joyful 'you' natural?

Is the world a gloomy place or is the world a beautiful place?

But

A world that is something entirely outside yourself

Like a big machine or a stage

Contradicts ancient philosophies

Contradicts modern physics

Creates profound problems

Which of the three is relevant for you

Or none

It is better to say

The world is nothing unless you give it its existence!!!

The gloomy world that you experience when you are depressed is real. The beautiful world that you experience when you are joyful is real as well.

*B*urning question:

What existence are you ready to give the world?

Returning to the first question:

Question: Does God exist?

Answer: God exists when you want him/it to exist

Something to reflect on:

Everything is as it is because you want it to be that way!!!!

!!!! Ouff !!!!

Utter nonsense

Absolute truth

Both or none

In other words

When 'I' is a slave of itself

It experiences itself as a victim of the world

When 'I' is master of itself it experiences the world as its servant

NOW

The real problem

How does 'I' become a master of itself?

I can stand on my head but still the world is not serving me

I can study a library still the world is not serving me

I can wish as much as I want and still the world is not serving me

So what to do ????